## **INSTRUCTORS**

Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

## QUALITY OF TEACHING

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Stimulated my interest in the subject	7
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	6
Helped me learn	7

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Overall rating of teaching	7

#### Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)

## SUBJECT

#### SUBJECT

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Subject expectations were clearly defined	2
Subject's learning objectives were met	5
Assignments contributed to my learning	3
Grading thus far has been fair	5
Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)	
The pace of the class (content and assignments) was:	5
Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)	
In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs, seminars, etc.)	4
Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers, projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.)	8
Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)	
Overall rating of the subject	5

Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

## **INSTRUCTORS**

Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

## QUALITY OF TEACHING

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Stimulated my interest in the subject	7
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	7
Helped me learn	6

#### Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)

Overall rating of teaching

#### Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)

# SUBJECT

### SUBJECT

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not App	licable (7 is best)
Subject expectations were clearly defined	5
Subject's learning objectives were met	5
Assignments contributed to my learning	4
Grading thus far has been fair	6
Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is	best)
The pace of the class (content and assignments)	6
was:	
Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole	number)
In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs,	4
seminars, etc.)	
Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers,	5
projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.)	
Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)	
Overall rating of the subject	6

#### Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

## **INSTRUCTORS**

Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

## QUALITY OF TEACHING

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Stimulated my interest in the subject	7
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	6
Helped me learn	7

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Overall rating of teaching	

### Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)

Jon was one of the best TAs I had in this program.

# SUBJECT

### SUBJECT

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Subject expectations were clearly defined	4
Subject's learning objectives were met	4
Assignments contributed to my learning	5
Grading thus far has been fair	5
Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)	
The pace of the class (content and assignments)	7
was:	
Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)	
In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs,	4
seminars, etc.)	
Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers,	3
projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.)	
Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)	
Overall rating of the subject	4

#### Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

7

Student: 42371

### **INSTRUCTORS**

Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

# QUALITY OF TEACHING

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Stimulated my interest in the subject	7
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	7
Helped me learn	7

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Overall rating of teaching	7

#### Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)

# SUBJECT

### SUBJECT

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Subject expectations were clearly defined	7
Subject's learning objectives were met	7
Assignments contributed to my learning	6
Grading thus far has been fair	7
Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)	
The pace of the class (content and assignments)	4
was:	
Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)	
In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs,	
seminars, etc.)	

Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers, projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.)

#### Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)

Overall rating of the subject

7

#### Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

## **INSTRUCTORS**

Cohen, Jonathan Palm, Recitation Instructor Teaching in Recitation R01 meets F12 in E51-151

### QUALITY OF TEACHING

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Stimulated my interest in the subject	7
Displayed thorough knowledge of subject material	7
Helped me learn	7

Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Overall rating of teaching	7

#### Comments on teaching (strengths, areas for improvement)

Jon was great, and had very engaging recitation sections!

# SUBJECT

### SUBJECT

Rating Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, 4=Neutral, 7=Strongly Agree, N/A=Not Applicable (7 is best)	
Subject expectations were clearly defined	7
Subject's learning objectives were met	7
Assignments contributed to my learning	6
Grading thus far has been fair	7
Rating Scale: 1=Too Slow, 4=Just Right, 7=Too Fast, N/A=Not Applicable (4 is best)	
The pace of the class (content and assignments)	4
was:	
Average hours you spent per week on this subject: (round to the nearest whole number)	
In the classroom (lectures, recitations, labs,	3
seminars, etc.)	
Outside of the classroom (reading, studying, papers,	5
projects, p-sets, online activities, etc.)	
Rating Scale: 1=Very Poor, 7=Excellent (7 is best)	
Overall rating of the subject	
	6

### Comments on the subject (strengths, areas for improvement)

The course was very interesting! It would have been useful to have slightly more time on the Student: 46253 Survey Windo problem set, since it was the only problem set for the course. It also may have been useful to make that problem set slightly shorter and have a couple of additional problem sets to help work through the material for other concepts.